

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/766,504	09/766,504 01/19/2001		Christopher Nathan Bell	41053/203067	1104	
23370	7590	09/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	PRATT, I		BASHORE, ALAIN L			
	CHTREE S	CKTON, LLP STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANT	'A, GA 30	309	3624			
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/200	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•	09/766,504	BELL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Alain L. Bashore	3624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe	ebruary 2003 and 08 July 2002.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified cobies tiof teceive	tu.						
Attachment(s)								
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)							
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (PTO-946)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7.8.		Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/766,504

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-36 recite "system" which is vague and indefinite since a system may be one of several different statutory classes of invention (including a method or an apparatus). Applicant must indicate on the record what statutory class of invention the system claims belong to. For the purposes of this examination these claims are considered method.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,504

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as non-statutory because the method claims as presented do not claim a technological basis. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the preamble and body of the claim structural / functional interrelationships that are solely by computer (and non-trivial) are considered to have a technological basis [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) — used only for content and reasoning since not precedential].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marshall.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,504

Art Unit: 3624

Marshall discloses an automated system for tracking user response to content. There is presented a plurality of activities related to the content to users. A database stores the content. A user is permitted to input user demographic data and engage in activities that are stored, processed and provided information to and receive information (para 0005, 0008, 0009).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-12, 17-23, 37-42, 47-52, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Gardenswartz et al.

Marshall discloses what is described in the 35 U.S.C 102(e) rejection above.

Marshall does not disclose tracking and recording the activities.

Gardenswartz et al discloses tracking and recording the activities (col 8, lines 33-67; col 9, lines 1-23).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/766,504

Art Unit: 3624

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include tracking and recording the activities because Gardenswartz et al teaches advantages to correlate preferences with targeting methods (col 2, lines 10-19).

8. Claims 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Gardenswartz et al in further view of Goldhaber et al.

Claims 13-16, 24, 43-46, 53-55, 57-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Gardenswartz et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Goldhaber et al.

Marshall and Gardenswartz et al do not disclose an auction engine.

Goldhaber et al discloses an auction engine (col 4, lines 60-67)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include an auction engine because Goldhaber et al teaches advantages of attention bidding (col 4, lines 57-58).

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,504

Art Unit: 3624

Page 6

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3624